



Canadian Federation of Library Associations
Fédération canadienne des associations de bibliothèques

**Board of Directors Meeting
Réunion du Conseil d'administration**

Thursday October 20, 2022 – jeudi 20 octobre 2022

12:00pm – 2:00pm ET – 12 h à 14 h HE

Zoom Meeting

Minutes

Attending: Lorisia MacLeod, Michael Rogowski, Andrea Cecchetto, Katya Borrás, Hélène Carrier, Todd Mundle, Brad Doerken, Ann Smith, Jessica Knoch, Graeme Tennant, Brett Waytuck, Katya Borrás, Sharon Rankin

Regrets: Mary Chevreau

Guest: Christina de Castell for 6.2

Meeting began at 12:04 p.m. (EST)

1. Approval of the Agenda / Approbation de l'ordre du jour

Without objection, approved.

2. Approval of September 8th, 2022 Minutes / Approbation du procès-verbal du 8 septembre 2022

Without objection, approved.

3 Conflict of Interest Check / Vérification du conflit d'intérêts

No Conflict of Interest

4. Approval of Consent Agenda / Approbation de l'ordre du jour des consentements

Approval of the consent agenda through Consensus

4.1. Report from the Executive Committee / Approbation de l'ordre du jour des consentement

No questions.

4.2. Board decisions made by email / Décisions du CA prises par courriel

4.2.1. Statement on AI and Authorship

4.2.2. Statement on Text and Data Mining

4.2.3. Federal Pre-budget ask

4.2.4. CFLA-FCAB representative to the Canadian Committee on Cataloguing
T.M., & A.S., to accept and motion to pass that they are ratified within the
minutes

5. Decision Items - Points de décision

5.1: IMC Terms of Reference

BACKGROUND: the Chair of the Indigenous Matters COmmittee, Kirk MacLeod, with the help of L. MacLeod, have crafted the IMC Terms of Reference. Any questions or concerns.

DISCUSSION:

S. Ranken: The french translation for the committee is still "The Committee of Indigiouns Issues', should that be changed to be consistent with the English 'Indigiouns Matters Committee'?

L. MacLeod: Yes, we will change that translation to be more inline with the English translation and avoid any negative connotation.

Approval Motion: J. Knoch, T. Mundel.

Motion Approved.

NEXT STEPS: The process for requirement for committee members can begin. .

6. Discussion Items - Points de discussion

6.1 Nomination Committee

BACKGROUND: To nominate the slate of Board Officer positions, a small committee will be struck to identify / recruit these individuals. Those individuals who are on this committee may not also seek to fill those roles (EG: Board Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary, or Treasurer). We are currently looking to see if anyone would like to be a part of this nominations committee. Does anyone wish to do so at this time?

DISCUSSION:

B. Doerken: For clarification: by being on this committee, we guarantee that we are not on the executive committee?

L. MacLeod: Yes.

K. Borrás: I'm very interested.

A. Smith: If I'm planning to return for a two year term, would I still be able to be on this nominations committee?

L. MacLeod: Correct, you are only not eligible for the executive committee, not the board.

A. Smith: Then I'm also very interested.

L. MacLeod: Good, we will still send out a communication to make sure those who currently are not in attendance have a chance to sit on this committee.

6.2 CFLA Controlled Digital Lending Position Statement

Guest: Christina de Castell

BACKGROUND: The Copyright Committee is about to put out a position statement on Controlled Digital Lending. Since this is a complex issue, the board will have an opportunity to question / comment on it before it goes public.

A big message from the statement is that The Internet Archive does not equal Controlled Digital Lending. There are times when priorities of lenders of digital material and vendors do not align, and this is one of those times. We must also identify the limitations of Controlled Digital Lending and accessibility.

DISCUSSION:

B. Waytuck: Is there a way to embed the idea that Internet Archive does not equate Controlled Digital Lending?

L. MacLeod: Is there a broader budget term for digital lending?

C. de Castell: "Platform would be the best term to use.

B. Waytuck: We need to make sure we point out that this is not about undercutting revenues for publishers, but about access for our population.

L. MacLeod: The only thing needed is to amend the one statement for Controlled Digital Lending terminology. We can approve as it is, with the clause that that sentence will be added.

B. Waytuck: I move to approve, with the conditional amendment. J. Knoch Seconded.

NEXT STEP: Once we get the amendment, CFLA will circulate the Position Statement with our Members.

6.3. National Forum

BACKGROUND: J. Knock, B. Doerken, & M. Rogowski have met with the planners of ABQLA's conference to help organize the CFLA National Forum. After our meeting, we have had some concerns.

- Early budget calculations put us at a loss for revenue by 20k. This is due to a far smaller conference than first expected, with less attendees, and a smaller venue. When compared to SLA's conference in 2018, there were many aspects of the conference that were covered in part or in full by surrounding Universities or Public Libraries. This would not happen this time around.
- WILU is also being held within the same month as ABQLA, which will take a lot of our Academic Librarian presence away. This includes attendance, but also speakers and exhibitors & vendors.
- ABQLA is a very small organization, with a very small planning committee. We get the impression that the planning committee is not getting a lot of support, and as they are two inexperienced students.

Thus, we have two courses ahead of us:

1. Continue as planned with ABQLA, and do our best to bridge the gap between running this at a loss and running this as cost neutral.
2. Pull out and explore other options.

If we plan to explore other options, we should seriously consider an online forum.

DISCUSSION:

L. MacLeod: For an online forum, would the seed money be used for it and make it cost neutral?

J. Knoch: Yes, and offset even further for speakers.

K. Borrás: Quebec also has a public library conference in May which would attract the public library population away from our National Forum. Even though ABQLA is an English run event, we will need to do a substantial amount of translations.

S. Ranken: After seeing the budget, I suggest if we hope to get everyone around Canada, it would be better to go virtual than a physical conference. This is even an Environmental factor for travel. Just for an economical note, that's a lot of money for coffee!

J. Knoch: The budget we have now incorporates speaker fees and the night before banquet. To help save costs, we would need to drop that banquet. At our first National Forum, the banquet was subsidized by other organizations, and many speakers spoke pro-bono.

A. Cecchetto: It sounds too risky to go ahead as planned with ABQLA. The more we cut out of the event, the less it would draw people to it. I motion to pull out now so we can put all our emphasis on the planning for an online conference.

T. Mundle & B. Waytuck to move and second.

6.4: Intellectual Freedom Committee's Relations with Center for Freedom of Expression

BACKGROUND:

The Intellectual Freedom Committee (IFC) has existed well before CFLA and has put out a challenge survey for librarians/libraries across Canada to fill out when ever they are approached with a challenge of material, programs, speakers, or meeting room bookings. Last year, work from the Center of Freedom of Expression (CFE) produced their own challenge database for people to use. It looks like more and more librarians / libraries are switching to the CFE database. How would we like to handle this?

DISCUSSION:

K. Borrás: I have received the same question from others in the library community. Our own population has stepped forward on confusion as to the existence of both the Challenge Survey from CFLA and the Challenge Database from CFE.

L. MacLeod: I suggest we put this to the committee and ask them to clarify why / how these are different, and justify why we need both.

K. Borrás: There has been a lack of summunication between CFE and IFC. IFC is very willing and open to work with CFE, but they have not had any contact from CFE.

L. MacLeod: From what I've heard, Jim Turk the organizer of CFE has reached out to IFC and has yet to receive a response. Perhaps we should broach this conversation as the board, and request this type of clarification.

J. Knock: What is our authority and role in this? In Alberta, the CFE database is gaining speed in a big way. Many libraries will only use one to submit their challenge reports, and many are now choosing to submit on CFE's. I'm also curious as to why so many creators of the CFE database are also sitting on the CFLA's IFC.

S. Ranken: How can we use what CFE is doing and use it for our own survey? Instead of submitting twice on two different websites, can't we submit once on a single website that will link the data to both?

L. MacLeod: We can ask IFC to work together with CFE and straighten this out.

K. Borrás: We had a student who worked with our challenge survey who is now on the IFC who knows all about this.

L. MacLeod: We would need to get an MOU prepared if we do enter into a partnership with CFE. In the end, we need more information from IFC to begin to get this cleared up. Either way, this will be a long road ahead.

K. Borrás: IFC have a meeting next week where we can bring this forward.

J. Knoch: We need IFC to work with CFE and we need to put out a communication for our members to clarify the two, and submit their challenges to both. It would be good to know what the IFC is doing to help in this situation.

L. MacLeod: I have no problem bringing down the diplomatic hammer, as doing nothing is the wrong course of action.

Motion to request IFM to provide clarity on position on CFE database & provide CFLA board with recommendations for collaboration with CFE to avoid duplication. Also, a statement on the difference between the database and why submit to both with a target audience of our membership.

K. Borrás to motion, and J. Knoch to second. Motion Passed.

6.5: Climate Action Committee Formation

BACKGROUND:

Our members OLA and BCLA have approached us with a formal request to form our own Climate Action Committee to work in tandem with their Climate Action Committees, but will focus on a national scope and work.

A. Cecchetto motions to accept this request and begin work on a Terms of Reference and Mandate for CFLA's Climate Action Committee. T. Mundle seconded.

NEXT STEPS: T. Mundle and A. Cecchetto will work with M. Rogowski to begin drafting these documents.

7. Items for Information - Points d'information

7.1 Web Banner Sheet: Reminder to put in those items in the spreadsheet, and if any questions come up, please e-mail M. Rogowski

7.2: Board emails

Background: M. Rogowski has set up emails for the executive committee to use and it has been working very well. Next steps will be to create CFLA specific emails for the rest of the board. These emails will be your CFLA email to use in all things CFLA. This will be

tied to CFLA documents and meeting invites. This will also remove the burden of hanging on to communications related to CFLA and help create a much more smooth transition from board member to board member.

DISCUSSION:

B. Deorken: We would use these emails for all communications we make to external entities when representing CFLA?

M. Rogowski: Yes, exactly.

7.3: Update from Committees: Cataloguing and Metadata

S. Ranken: Questions have popped up concerning Indigenizing the subject headings at the national forum, and creating a social media account specifically for the Cataloguing and Metadata Standards committee.

L. MacLeod: In terms of social media presence, there is to be no other CFLA related social media outside presence outside of our official social media accounts.

S. Ranken: There was also a question about the AGM and when/if it will be held at the OLA Super Conference this year.

L. MacLeod: No it will be held separately on February 9th.

7.4: Update from Committees: Copyright

A. Smith: No update

7.5: Update from Committees: Intellectual Freedom

K. Borrás: They are asking what other organizations could do to help with Intellectual Freedoms. An external organization had reached out to the IFC asking about this.

7.6: Update from Committees: Indigenous Matters Committee

L. MacLeod: The terms of reference and mandate are finally done. A call for members will go out soon.

9. In-Camera - Huis Clos

None

10: Establish next Meeting / Prochaine réunion

December 1st, 2022

12: Adjournment / Clôture de la séance

Meeting adjourned: 1:45 p.m. EST